

6 minute → GOV Prime Minister Speech	6 minute → OPP Leader of Opposition Speech	6 minute → GOV 1st Member of Government	6 minute → OPP 1st Member of Opposition	6 minute → GOV 2nd Member of Government	6 minute → OPP 2nd Member of Opposition	3 minute → OPP Rebuttal (leader of Opp or Opp 1)	3 minute → GOV Rebuttal; (leader of Gov or Gov 1
<p>This is the set up for the round.</p> <p>In prepared rounds: This speech is 100% written out, hopefully as close to 6 minutes as possible.</p> <p>In limited prep rounds: This speech is usually extemped. The debaters have thought up contentions, but they aren't as fleshed out.</p> <p>Standardly, this speech contains a thesis, definitions, and multiple contentions supporting the resolution.</p>	<p>This is the setup of the OPP's position.</p> <p>In prepared rounds this speech is 80% written, hopefully hitting around 4 minutes. The remaining time should be spent attacking the Gov position. (In LP rounds this is also extemped).</p> <p>Options: 1) SQ is working 2) Disadvantages to GOV plan. 3) Counterplan 4) Topicality Arguments 5) No Link Arguments 6) Arguments attacking the flaws in each contention one by one.</p>	<p>This speech is about the refutation of the OPP case and responses to things that were said about GOV case.</p> <p>Remember, going in order of the arguments as they are written is the best way to make sure you miss anything.</p> <p>In this speech: -EXTENSIONS to Gov case (more stats/figures) - Why your case is better than the SQ - Mistakes your opponent made, including arguments of yours that he/she forgot to ask. - Why your case still stands, even after attacks.</p>	<p>This speech should be split into two parts:</p> <p>The first half of this speech is about shoring up your OPP case, often with extensions (More stats), beating back any arguments made against it by GOV.</p> <p>The second half of this speech is refuting GOV's claims made in the first speech. Ideally, you want to do this line-by-line, taking each point and subpoint and refuting them individually (or grouping arguments, if possible).</p>	<p>This speech should be split into two parts:</p> <p>The first half is about presenting why GOV's view of the resolution is best, and why the resolution should be affirmed, even in light of OPP's arguments against it.</p> <p>The second half is refuting OPP's case and any disadvantages that they bring up.</p> <p>Remember, going in order of the arguments as they are written is the best way to make sure you miss anything.</p>	<p>This is the first speech in the 'NEG block.' That means that not all the arguments for OPP needs to be made in this speech, some can be saved for the last OPP speech.</p> <p>This speech should shore up all the arguments that are still dangling, presenting the stats and figures that beat back arguments that were presented by GOV.</p> <p>Include: - Rebuttals to arguments made by GOV - Why GOV arguments fall - Any mistakes GOV made</p>	<p>Second Speech in the Neg Block, so while it's done by 2 people, this is an extension of the last speech.</p> <p>This one should use the first minute to shore up any arguments that weren't finished by the last speech.</p> <p>Include: - Voting issues, why OPP has won the round - Key pieces of evidence that GOV dropped - How you proved that GOV world isn't an improvement.</p> <p>Basically: Why OPP won the round.</p>	<p>Final Speech, making it important. Because GOV has the burden of proof, GOV team gets the last say.</p> <p>The first minute or so should rebut any arguments made by OPP that are still unanswered.</p> <p>Include: - Voting issues, why GOV has won the round - Key pieces of evidence that OPP has forgotten - How you prove that the resolution should pass.</p> <p>Basically: Why the GOV won the round.</p>